

plementary review of the first. It contained the list of seven or eight documents, one of which, "Cette canaille de Dreyfus," will serve for the purposes of comparison.

"It also contained the correspondence of Esterhazy and his friends."

"These initials, it should be borne in mind, represent Colonel Schwartzkoppen, the German military attaché at Paris, and Major Panizavoli, the former military attaché of Italy at the French capital."

"At this juncture the colonel said it would facilitate his explanations if he were permitted to see the secret dossier, adding: 'I have already had it in my hands, but I fear my memory may fail me on some points.'"

"What you ask," replied the president of the court-martial, "is impossible. The minister's orders are absolute. The secret dossier can only be examined under certain conditions."

"I regret it," said Picquart, "but I will try to refresh my memory."

The witness then explained why Maj. Du Paty de Clam's translation of the Dreyfus document, which has been classed as idiotic, was open to doubt, and why the document, if it had any meaning whatever, was as applicable to Esterhazy as to Dreyfus.

**Differed With Du Paty de Clam.**

Regarding the correspondence of the military attachés, the witness demonstrated the insignificance of the information asked for. While Paty de Clam regarded the correspondence as convincing, and as clearly indicating an officer of the second bureau, Picquart maintained that the terms of the correspondence indicated that the writer was a civilian, and not a spy, for the information desired. Many headquarters officers, he pointed out, were on cordial and absolutely legitimate terms with the foreign military attachés.

Col. Picquart then took up the "Cette canaille de Dreyfus" document, called the attention of the court to the fact that the document addressed by Schwartzkoppen to Panizavoli, and not vice versa, as long believed.

After giving his reasons for believing Dreyfus was not the person referred to in that document, Picquart showed how Paty de Clam endeavored to ascribe the authorship of the document to Panizavoli with the view of establishing a connection, which, in reality, did not exist, between the various documents in the indictment against Dreyfus.

The document referring to the French agent's journey to Switzerland, of which Schwartzkoppen is said to have been informed, was only slightly commented upon by the witness, as he did not attach importance to it.

The minute detail with which the colonel dealt with the evidence, clearness of his language and his deductions had great effect upon the audience and elicited general admiration.

The former chief of the intelligence department concluded his examination of the first portion of the secret dossier by saying:

"May I be allowed to express deep regret at the absence of Major du Paty de Clam. It seems to me indispensable that this officer, who wrote the commentaries on the secret dossier, should be summoned to give evidence here. He would give us his reminiscences and I would help him."

**Responsibility for Dossier.**

At the conclusion of Col. Picquart's deposition Gens. Rozet and Mercier rose together and asked to be allowed to be confronted with the witness. The confrontation, however, only lasted a few minutes, and did not prove sensational, having reference to comparatively unimportant points.

"I added," Picquart, "since I am dealing with this question of the commentaries of Maj. du Paty de Clam, permit me to point out to you, gentlemen, that this document was not the property of any particular minister. It was classified as belonging to the intelligence department, and, as you know, it formed part of the secret dossier, which was shut up in one of the drawers of my desk, and which was abstracted from it. This commentary, therefore, is upon a secret dossier document, which was improperly removed from my department." (Sensation.)

Continuing, the witness said:

"Major Mercier yesterday of the disappearance of documents. That is the case in point."

Turning to the second portion of the dossier, Picquart described a number of documents in it as forgeries, and said the reports therein contained showed nothing against Dreyfus. He explained that they embodied the theme mostly used by police spies in order to dupe the intelligence department, and asserted that their information was mostly worthless, embroidered or false, and prepared in order to make interesting reading.

In the inquiry made by M. Quesnay de Beaulieu, former president of the civil section of the court, Picquart, continuing, said: "You have an excellent example of the sort of people who can present in the most specious guise what amounts absolutely to nothing."

You cannot imagine, gentlemen, what people in order to get money, if only a modest twenty-five francs, will bring to the intelligence department in the shape of so-called 'information,' which examination has proved to be worthless."

**The Prisoner Interested.**

Dreyfus displayed the keenest interest in Picquart's protracted analysis of the dossier, to which the whole audience listened with profound attention. The members of the court-martial, and former ministers of war were equally interested in the latter General's Billot and Zurlinden were in full uniform. General Mercier was attired in civilian clothes. Near them sat M. Bertillon, the examining magistrate, who gave such strong testimony for Dreyfus yesterday. Major Panizavoli, the former military attaché of Italy at the French capital, was also present.

Concluding his examination of the secret dossier, Colonel Picquart explained how he had acquired the conviction that the dossier was written by Esterhazy, and how he ascertained the words of Esterhazy were worthless. He began by stating how he first learned of the existence of Esterhazy and his efforts to discover something about him.

The witness earnestly asserted that the first occasion on which he saw Esterhazy's name was when and where the writer of the Petit Bleu. He said he was not acquainted with Esterhazy and never had Esterhazy watched.

Previous to this the utmost efforts had been made to prove the contrary and to show Picquart knew Esterhazy before the discovery of the Petit Bleu. This point, with the view, as explained, of showing how certain newspapers had travestied the facts, and he created a mild sensation by adding:

"I shall have occasion to point out to you what similar examples."

The witness then rebutted the charges against him of unnecessarily delaying the prosecution of Esterhazy. He said his object was to avoid arousing suspicion in regard to an officer who, perhaps, was entirely innocent.

"What a mistake," he added, "would have been averted in 1894 had they acted in the same way as to Esterhazy."

This remark caused murmurs of approval in court.

What the witness gathered about Esterhazy's character, he continued, created the worst impression upon him, but he learned nothing to connect Esterhazy with any act of espionage. Therefore he did not mention his suspicion of Esterhazy, who was ordered to watch Esterhazy, who had completely compromised himself through

his relations with an English company, of which he had agreed to become a director.

**Esterhazy's Bad Character.**

"That could not be permitted in the case of a French officer," said Picquart. "Moreover, Esterhazy gambled, led a life of debauchery and lived with Mademoiselle Bessie."

Turning to the leakage at headquarters, the witness described the negotiations of Major Lauth with the spy, Richard Guesne, at Basle, showing how the spy promised information about the leakage and how he (Picquart) was induced to allow Lieut. Col. Henry to accompany Major Lauth to Basle.

Picquart also described the vague replies of Henry when questioned on the subject of Esterhazy before his departure and the futility of the visit to Basle because of Guesne's refusal to impart the promised information when he saw Henry.

This incident caused the witness to wonder how he had managed to make his inquiries. Henry and Lauth had not done everything possible to impose silence upon him. Before the Basle incident Col. Picquart had decided to speak to Gen. de Boisdeffre about Esterhazy.

"In answer to my request," said the witness, "Gen. de Boisdeffre wrote me, asking me to meet him at the train on his arrival from Vichy. While driving from the railroad station to the general's hotel I told him all I had done in regard to Esterhazy."

"I affirm that Gen. de Boisdeffre knew that this question was to remain a secret between us and that I was not to mention it except to the minister of war."

"I knew Esterhazy was anxious to enter the war office and I did not regard his desire favorably. I communicated my impressions to my chiefs, who approved all my steps, and the application of Esterhazy was rejected."

**Sample of Esterhazy's Writing.**

"His insistance, however, only increased my uneasiness regarding him and I resolved to ask the question of his handwriting. I was immediately struck with the similarity of his handwriting and that of the bordereau, and forthwith I had the letters of Esterhazy which were in my possession photographed, and showed the photographs to Maj. Du Paty de Clam and M. Bertillon (the handwriting expert), between August 25 and September 10."

The colonel emphasized this point because M. Bertillon affirms he saw the photographs in May, 1896, and made a note of them, whereas the letters were not written on that date. The conflicting testimony of Picquart and Bertillon on this point had been used to discredit the former's evidence.

Col. Picquart, continuing, said: "Paty de Clam, on seeing the writing, forthwith declared it was that of Matthew Dreyfus, the brother of Capt. Dreyfus."

The witness, continuing, said:

"You know," he maintained, "that the bordereau is the joint work of Alfred and Matthew Dreyfus."

"M. Bertillon said: 'That is the writing of the bordereau.'"

"M. Bertillon failed to discover where I had signed the handwriting, but the only information I imparted was that it was current and recent handwriting."

"M. Bertillon then suggested that it was a tracing, and ended by saying that if it was current handwriting it could only have emanated from some one whom the Jews had been exercising for a year in imitating the writing of the bordereau."

"M. Bertillon, when I left the photographs with him, when he returned to me he said he adhered to his opinion and earnestly asked to see the original, when I saw beyond a doubt that the handwriting of the bordereau was Esterhazy's, and, seeing that the documents mentioned therein might have been supplied by Esterhazy, that the words, 'I am going to the ministers,' which were added by Esterhazy, and that Esterhazy had secretaries at his disposal to copy a document so voluminous as the firing manual, I resolved to consult the secret dossier to see what part of the treachery might be ascribed to Dreyfus, and to assure myself whether the dossier contained anything indicating Esterhazy."

**Dossier Contained Traces of Handwriting.**

"Frankly, gentlemen, I expected to find in the secret dossier, I expected to find matters of gravity therein, and found, in short, nothing but a document which might apply just as much to Esterhazy as to Dreyfus, an unimportant document mentioning Dreyfus, and a document which it seemed absurd to apply to Dreyfus, namely, the 'Cette canaille de Dreyfus.'"

"Lastly," he recognized a report appended in the handwriting of Guesne, which appeared to be at least as worthless as the second document."

"It was then evening. I had staved late, alone at the office, in order to examine the dossier. I remained there until midnight, during the night, and the next day I explained the whole situation to General de Boisdeffre. I took to his office the secret dossier, the fac-simile of the bordereau, the Petit Bleu and the principal papers connected with my investigation of Esterhazy."

"I wonder," he said, "if you have an interview with General de Boisdeffre, as he examined the secret dossier with me, stop before he reached the end and tell me to go into the country, give an account of the affair to General Gonsse and ask him to take up the matter."

"Before starting to see General Gonsse I copied a note four pages in length, which I mailed September 1, containing my resume of the Esterhazy affair."

"When I informed General Gonsse of all what I had said, he listened to me with a 'So a mistake has been made.'"

"After my interview with General Gonsse I did not work any longer on my own initiative. I said nothing more until the return of General Gonsse, September 15. At that time Esterhazy was at the great man's house."

Next, the witness dwelt on the rumors in September, 1896, of a project of replacing Dreyfus by a man of straw and the discovery of the forged Weyl letter, supposed to be connected with the same project. At that time Esterhazy was at the great man's house. General Mercier was attired in civilian clothes. Near them sat M. Bertillon, the examining magistrate, who gave such strong testimony for Dreyfus yesterday. Major Panizavoli, the former military attaché of Italy at the French capital, was also present.

At this stage of his deposition Colonel Picquart, who had been speaking for two and a half hours, was visibly distressed. He had declared that the dossier had been managed to continue, Colonel Douaust not showing any inclination to suspend the session of the court in order to give him needed rest.

The witness then turned to the newspaper attacks on Esterhazy, saying that the information regarding the bordereau contained in them convinced him that they had been inspired by some one closely connected with the Dreyfus affair.

**Forbidden to Investigate.**

They could not, he added, be attributed to the Dreyfus family, while they contained expressions familiar to Paty de Clam, whom it would be interesting to hear on the subject.

He next said he asked permission to inquire into the sources of the articles, but was forbidden to interfere in any way whatever.

Describing his interview with General Gonsse, September 15, Picquart said:

"When I asked General Gonsse for permission to continue the investigation, insisting on the danger of allowing the Dreyfus family to proceed with their investigation alone, the general replied that it was impossible, in his opinion, and in the opinion of General de Boisdeffre and the minister of war, to reopen the affair. When I pressed the point, in order to make General Gonsse understand that nothing could prevent its reopening if it could be General Dreyfus was innocent, General Gonsse replied:

"If you say nothing, nobody will know."

"General," I replied, firmly, "what you tell me is, 'I don't know, I don't know what I shall do. But I won't let this secret with me!' (Great sensation.)"

"I at once left the room," added the witness.

ness. "That is what occurred. I know my account is disputed, but I positively swear it," said Picquart, as he emphatically smote the bar in front of the witness box, and looked in the direction of the general.

This colonel next described his intentions with regard to Esterhazy, which Gens. Gonsse and de Boisdeffre had forbidden him to carry out. He attached particular importance to this point, as it contained a clue to subsequent occurrences. Later, witness said, that while Paty de Clam was in the city, Esterhazy described himself with a false beard and blue spectacles, perhaps he was authorized to do so.

**Esterhazy Was Warned.**

After a few minutes' suspension of the session, Colonel Picquart resumed his deposition, showing how, through an article in the Eclair of September 15, he was satisfied Esterhazy had been warned of the suspicions against him. In order to make the proofs complete, witness stated that his investigations with time and discretion. In his opinion, the only event of importance in the Dreyfus affair since the discovery of the bordereau was the Henry forgery perpetrated October 31, 1896. He added that it must have been handed immediately to Gens. Gonsse. Shortly before Henry perpetrated the forgery, a republican deputy declared the forgery, and a republican revisionist, deputy for Laon division of Alsace, was about to play the hand of the Dreyfus family by unmasking, in the chamber of deputies, the prisoner's accomplices, thus having the affair reopened.

Col. Picquart incidentally complained bitterly that while he still chief of the intelligence department, Henry's tool, Guesne, should be allowed to make reports against the witness, who had then established a connection between the reports of Guesne and the false deposition of Henry, who had testified that he had seen the witness seated in Maitre Leblos's office with the document containing the words "Cette canaille de Dreyfus" before them.

Each new scheme, said the former chief of the intelligence department, was a complement development of the one preceding.

**Intrigues Against Witness.**

The next feature of Picquart's deposition was his recital of the intrigues against him and the pressure exercised by Henry with the view of fixing on the witness the blame for communicating information to the newspapers.

Col. Picquart incidentally complained bitterly that while he still chief of the intelligence department, Henry's tool, Guesne, should be allowed to make reports against the witness, who had then established a connection between the reports of Guesne and the false deposition of Henry, who had testified that he had seen the witness seated in Maitre Leblos's office with the document containing the words "Cette canaille de Dreyfus" before them.

Each new scheme, said the former chief of the intelligence department, was a complement development of the one preceding.

**Intrigues Against Witness.**

The next feature of Picquart's deposition was his recital of the intrigues against him and the pressure exercised by Henry with the view of fixing on the witness the blame for communicating information to the newspapers.

Col. Picquart incidentally complained bitterly that while he still chief of the intelligence department, Henry's tool, Guesne, should be allowed to make reports against the witness, who had then established a connection between the reports of Guesne and the false deposition of Henry, who had testified that he had seen the witness seated in Maitre Leblos's office with the document containing the words "Cette canaille de Dreyfus" before them.

Each new scheme, said the former chief of the intelligence department, was a complement development of the one preceding.

**Intrigues Against Witness.**

The next feature of Picquart's deposition was his recital of the intrigues against him and the pressure exercised by Henry with the view of fixing on the witness the blame for communicating information to the newspapers.

Col. Picquart incidentally complained bitterly that while he still chief of the intelligence department, Henry's tool, Guesne, should be allowed to make reports against the witness, who had then established a connection between the reports of Guesne and the false deposition of Henry, who had testified that he had seen the witness seated in Maitre Leblos's office with the document containing the words "Cette canaille de Dreyfus" before them.

Each new scheme, said the former chief of the intelligence department, was a complement development of the one preceding.

**Intrigues Against Witness.**

The next feature of Picquart's deposition was his recital of the intrigues against him and the pressure exercised by Henry with the view of fixing on the witness the blame for communicating information to the newspapers.

Col. Picquart incidentally complained bitterly that while he still chief of the intelligence department, Henry's tool, Guesne, should be allowed to make reports against the witness, who had then established a connection between the reports of Guesne and the false deposition of Henry, who had testified that he had seen the witness seated in Maitre Leblos's office with the document containing the words "Cette canaille de Dreyfus" before them.

Each new scheme, said the former chief of the intelligence department, was a complement development of the one preceding.

**Intrigues Against Witness.**

The next feature of Picquart's deposition was his recital of the intrigues against him and the pressure exercised by Henry with the view of fixing on the witness the blame for communicating information to the newspapers.

Col. Picquart incidentally complained bitterly that while he still chief of the intelligence department, Henry's tool, Guesne, should be allowed to make reports against the witness, who had then established a connection between the reports of Guesne and the false deposition of Henry, who had testified that he had seen the witness seated in Maitre Leblos's office with the document containing the words "Cette canaille de Dreyfus" before them.

Each new scheme, said the former chief of the intelligence department, was a complement development of the one preceding.

**Intrigues Against Witness.**

The next feature of Picquart's deposition was his recital of the intrigues against him and the pressure exercised by Henry with the view of fixing on the witness the blame for communicating information to the newspapers.

Col. Picquart incidentally complained bitterly that while he still chief of the intelligence department, Henry's tool, Guesne, should be allowed to make reports against the witness, who had then established a connection between the reports of Guesne and the false deposition of Henry, who had testified that he had seen the witness seated in Maitre Leblos's office with the document containing the words "Cette canaille de Dreyfus" before them.

Each new scheme, said the former chief of the intelligence department, was a complement development of the one preceding.

**Intrigues Against Witness.**

The next feature of Picquart's deposition was his recital of the intrigues against him and the pressure exercised by Henry with the view of fixing on the witness the blame for communicating information to the newspapers.

Col. Picquart incidentally complained bitterly that while he still chief of the intelligence department, Henry's tool, Guesne, should be allowed to make reports against the witness, who had then established a connection between the reports of Guesne and the false deposition of Henry, who had testified that he had seen the witness seated in Maitre Leblos's office with the document containing the words "Cette canaille de Dreyfus" before them.

Each new scheme, said the former chief of the intelligence department, was a complement development of the one preceding.

**Intrigues Against Witness.**

The next feature of Picquart's deposition was his recital of the intrigues against him and the pressure exercised by Henry with the view of fixing on the witness the blame for communicating information to the newspapers.

Col. Picquart incidentally complained bitterly that while he still chief of the intelligence department, Henry's tool, Guesne, should be allowed to make reports against the witness, who had then established a connection between the reports of Guesne and the false deposition of Henry, who had testified that he had seen the witness seated in Maitre Leblos's office with the document containing the words "Cette canaille de Dreyfus" before them.

Each new scheme, said the former chief of the intelligence department, was a complement development of the one preceding.

**Intrigues Against Witness.**

The next feature of Picquart's deposition was his recital of the intrigues against him and the pressure exercised by Henry with the view of fixing on the witness the blame for communicating information to the newspapers.

Col. Picquart incidentally complained bitterly that while he still chief of the intelligence department, Henry's tool, Guesne, should be allowed to make reports against the witness, who had then established a connection between the reports of Guesne and the false deposition of Henry, who had testified that he had seen the witness seated in Maitre Leblos's office with the document containing the words "Cette canaille de Dreyfus" before them.

Each new scheme, said the former chief of the intelligence department, was a complement development of the one preceding.

**Intrigues Against Witness.**

The next feature of Picquart's deposition was his recital of the intrigues against him and the pressure exercised by Henry with the view of fixing on the witness the blame for communicating information to the newspapers.

## FUNK MAKES DENIAL

**Declares He Did Not Commit the Murder Alleged.**

**OFFERS TO SUPPLY CLUES TO POLICE**

**District Officials Awaiting Additional News From Columbia.**

**PRISONER'S BOASTS**

**Special Dispatch To The Evening Star.**

COLUMBIA, Mo., August 18.—The man arrested in Columbia August 15 answers in a minute and to the point the questions asked by Chief of Police Richard Sylvester of Washington city. The man admits his resemblance to the description, but denies having killed Brooks. He says he can give the police a clue as to the actual perpetrator of the crime. He is being held to await the arrival of the Washington city officers, who are expected here this afternoon.

Police officials at headquarters are anxiously awaiting additional information from Columbia, Mo., concerning the man supposed to be Frank William Funk, alleged murderer of William Brooks and assailant and robber of Mrs. Martha Brooks, an account of whose arrest was published in the Evening Star. Since the receipt of the telegram giving information concerning the marks of identification was received, the police have learned nothing.

The man under arrest has admitted his identity, this information has not been verified by the police. The police department is in the special dispatch to the Star it is stated that the prisoner denies being guilty of the murder and robbery. From other sources it is learned that the prisoner is a big, muscular man, about 35 years of age, with a large nose, a high forehead, and a thick, dark hair.

During his two weeks' stay in Columbia he did some of the worst work of the prison. He was employed as a janitor, and was in charge of the kitchen. He was a very good cook, and was very popular with the prisoners. He was a very good fighter, and was very popular with the prisoners.

In one of his numerous statements he has said that he was a very good fighter, and was very popular with the prisoners. He was a very good fighter, and was very popular with the prisoners. He was a very good fighter, and was very popular with the prisoners.

**The Prisoner's Boasts.**

The prisoner, who claimed his arrest was a mistake, and that he was a very good fighter, and was very popular with the prisoners. He was a very good fighter, and was very popular with the prisoners. He was a very good fighter, and was very popular with the prisoners.

Inspector Boardman expects to hear from Detective Watson at any minute. He left here at 11:30 o'clock Wednesday night, and was due in St. Louis about 7 o'clock this morning. He is expected to be in St. Louis by 10 o'clock this morning. He is expected to be in St. Louis by 10 o'clock this morning.

**Other Arrests.**

While the information received from Columbia indicates a possibility of other arrests, a doubt that the right man is caught, other people are being arrested on suspicion of being the murderer. Responses are being received by mail and wire to the circulars recently sent out. This morning Inspector Boardman has received a letter from a man in Missouri, who claims to be the man in custody in Missouri is really Funk, orders were given to keep certain of the witnesses in the case under surveillance, as it was feared that they might be harmed.

**Judges Were Deceived.**

Picquart here created a sensation by incidentally remarking that the judges in 1894 were shamefully deceived in believing the word of the man who testified that he had seen the witness seated in Maitre Leblos's office with the document containing the words "Cette canaille de Dreyfus" before them.

Witness bitterly reiterated the details of the various machinations, with the view of incriminating him, instigated by Henry, Esterhazy and Paty de Clam.

Col. Douaust president of the court having asked for explanations on certain points, Picquart said:

"If I tell you all this, gentlemen, it is to show what must have been the mental attitude toward me of the members of the court-martial, which tried Esterhazy." (Murmurs of assent.)

When he resumed his deposition the witness said that he had been deceived by the opportunity to contradict his accusers at that court-martial.

"I have almost finished my task," added Picquart, "but I ask permission to refer to the way the bordereau came to the war office. I have doubts in regard to the person who brought the bordereau. Two affidavits were taken from Gen. Gonsse, and he delivered the bordereau in 1894. But if an intelligent person had delivered it he would certainly have insisted on the value of its contents."

After Picquart had reiterated his statements the court adjourned, at 11:40 a.m., until tomorrow.

The deposition of Colonel Picquart occupied the entire session.

**DEATH OF EDWARD HAYES.**

He Was One of the Dearest Clerks in the Office of the Postmaster General.

Special Dispatch To The Evening Star.

WARREN, Ohio, August 18.—Colonel Edward Hayes, here for the reunion of his regiment, the 29th Ohio V. V. I., died suddenly at the Park Hotel today. Colonel Hayes was twice treasurer of Trumbull county, and for nearly twenty years has been in the Post Office Department at Washington.

Colonel Hayes was the third oldest clerk in length of service in the office of the second assistant postmaster general. He was a very good clerk, and was very popular with the clerks of that office. He was a very good clerk, and was very popular with the clerks of that office. He was a very good clerk, and was very popular with the clerks of that office.

Colonel Hayes was the third oldest clerk in length of service in the office of the second assistant postmaster general. He was a very good clerk, and was very popular with the clerks of that office. He was a very good clerk, and was very popular with the clerks of that office. He was a very good clerk, and was very popular with the clerks of that office.

**CHICAGO TROUBLE REFERRED.**

I. T. U. Convention Leaves the Matter to Executive Council.

DETROIT, Mich., August 18.—After an exceedingly stormy executive session of over three hours, the International Typographical Union today decided to leave the settlement of the Chicago difficulty in the hands of the executive council. The council was empowered to proceed to Chicago, investigate the difficulty, and take whatever action was deemed necessary. The question is whether extreme measures shall be resorted to in unifying all the allied printing trades in Chicago.

**Pardoned an Indian Boy.**

President McKinley has pardoned Simon Topesh, an Indian boy, convicted in the last century for the murder of a white man. He was sentenced to life in prison. The boy is seriously ill. He is said to be a relative of Green McCurtain, chief of the Choctaw nation.

## IN PORTO RICO ISLAND

**Chief Surgeon Hoff's Report on the Sanitary Conditions.**

**The Government's Military Policy—Upon This Will Depend Many Important Questions.**

Surgeon General Sternberg of the army has received the report of Maj. John R. Hoff, chief surgeon of the military department of Porto Rico, giving a complete survey of the conditions throughout the island from the standpoint of a medical man and an observer besides giving the technical features of the situation. Maj. Hoff discusses in an interesting way the permanent military policy toward Porto Rico and the need of shaping all military action with that permanent policy in view.

"The question of what will be the military policy of our government toward this island," says Maj. Hoff, "is interesting to consider for upon it will depend numerous sanitary questions relating to the troops."

"Presumably the permanent garrison will not exceed two batteries of artillery, a regiment, mounted on foot, and a battalion of native troops, with staff troops, etc., making a total strength of about 2,000. If we are to follow the usual custom, these troops will ultimately be located at posts near strategic points, but in open country, and ample space for military purposes will be available."

"No post is so located now; indeed, the barracks are all in the midst of towns and some are surrounded by a dense and unhealthy population."

**Healthy Habitations Necessary.**

"I trust we will not be actuated by any pennywise, pound-foolish policy of trying to make what we have now do for the future. We need not the experience of Great Britain in the West Indies to teach us that healthy habitations are a sine qua non to the success of any military enterprise. It will never be said of our possessions here that they are the graveyards of United States soldiers. But to avoid this, the barracks must be removed away from touch of elbow with a population which is physically degenerate. It behooves us to consider the question of the health of our troops, and not forgetting that suitable hospital accommodations form a part of the scheme."

Maj. Hoff gives in detail the condition of the many hospitals turned over to the United States forces by the Spaniards. He says that the hospitals are in a very bad state, and that the sanitary arrangements were Spanish, which may be construed to mean that they were everything but sanitary. He recommends that the hospitals be purchased, and that the hospital at Ponce for new buildings.

The chief surgeon speaks of a controversy which has arisen over the removal of the hospital at San Juan. The church and city set up the right to use thirty beds in the hospital at Ponce, and the military department has refused to do so.

He says that if any legitimate claim ever existed it has been quieted by repairing the old riding school at the expense of the United States, and turning it over to the city for hospital purposes. He adds that under no circumstances ought the paupers of San Juan to be mingled with our soldiers.

Maj. Hoff says a high tribute to Miss Chandler and Miss Bouquy for their services as nurses. He says women nurses are badly needed in the military hospitals, as there is no nurse like a good woman nurse, adding a fervent official "God bless them."

**Distribution of Supplies.**

Maj. Hoff says considerable trouble has resulted from distribution of supplies, owing to delays in shipment by the quartermaster's department. He states that robbery was rife, and it seemed at one time as though no box marked with a red cross (as all medical supplies are marked) could pass without paying toll. Maj. Hoff says he has no objection to the distribution of supplies.

"The work of the medical department of the army during the last year has been arduous, and the medical officers and their assistants have devoted their strength, health and their lives to its accomplishment."

Blame has fallen upon where no blame can be placed, and commendation has come slowly and grudgingly. Its officers have reaped no material advantage, for the military department has accepted as one of the most remarkable outcomes of that war.

**STEAMER NORFOLK IN STORM.**

Steering Gear Carried Away on Her Last Trip.

SPECIAL DISPATCH TO THE EVENING STAR.

NORFOLK, Va., August 18.—The storm which has raged here for three days past has spent itself and appears this morning to have passed over. Only a light wind prevails, although the rain still falls at intervals. The only boat which arrived this morning, the steamer Norfolk of the Washington line, met the storm at 3 o'clock this morning. Her steering gear was blown away, and she was forced to anchor. The damage was sustained, although the passengers were badly shaken up. All boats will, it now appears, leave on schedule tonight.

Little damage was done in this harbor by the storm, but it is believed that many wrecks may have occurred on the coast. Communication with the latter has been cut off, and yesterday the last steamer to Cape Henry went down. The storm changed its course in the night and is now moving southward. It is central now at sea about off Hatteras.

**WILL INTIMIDATE DENMARK.**

Effect of Germany's Restriction on Fresh Meat From Belgium.

COUNCIL GENERAL MASON at Berlin reports to the State Department on Germany's latest restrictive measure against the United States. It decrees that fresh meat shall not be imported from Belgium to Germany, but Mr. Mason says it was at once evident that this measure in fact would be a ban on beef, was occasioned by Belgium's recent removal of the restrictions on American cattle. The consul general says the decree is the logical furthence and fulfillment of a policy upon which the German government entered in 1894. He states that while the restriction is in force, it is a bacterial disease, and therefore susceptible of transmission by means of slaughtered meat, has now been discovered, and that Professor Koch, the eminent German bacteriologist, has confirmed the view taken by the United States Agricultural Department.

As to the latest decree, Mr. Mason says it will do American interests most injury in Denmark, which country was about to admit American cattle, but heated by the fear that Germany would shut out Denmark's fresh meats.

**Officers Assigned to Regiments.**

Officers recently appointed have been assigned to regiments as follows:

Second Lieutenant Fred. E. Buchan to the 6th Cavalry; Second Lieutenant A. H. Bishop to the 2d Infantry; Second Lieutenant A. H. Bishop to the 2d Infantry; Second Lieutenant A. H. Bishop to the 2d Infantry.

<